

This is probably the last newsletter that I will be putting together – although I may produce a report from the Australia Gold Coast Congress. Sorry that once again there are plenty of hands but few anecdotes – reason nobody sends me anything and that is why I am resigning as the Newsletter Editor.

Boris Ewart

Almost Double-Dummy by Boris Ewart

This hand illustrates the muddled thinking that can occur in defensive situations.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| ♠KQJ942 ♥1072 ♦Q105 ♣5 | ♠10863 ♥J85 ♦96 ♣9843 <table style="margin: auto; border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0ffe0;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">W</td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td><td style="padding: 2px;">E</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">S</td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> </table> ♠5 ♥KQ64 ♦K73 ♣QJ1062 ♠A7 ♥A93 ♦AJ842 ♣AK7 | W | N | E | | | | | S | | ♠5 ♥KQ64 ♦K73 ♣QJ1062 ♠A7 ♥A93 ♦AJ842 ♣AK7 | |
| W | N | E | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | S | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|----|----|----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 2♠ | No | No | 2NT |
| No | No | No | |

W led ♠K taken by ♠A and S tried ♦2 towards ♦9. W won this with ♦10 and cashed ♠J – E discarded ♣2. W then led ♠9 indicating a ♦ entry so E discarded ♦K and S ♦4. S now played ♣3 and E played ♠10 to ♣K. S next cashed ♦A and led ♥3.

W missed going in with the ♥10 by playing the ♥2 and S inserted the ♥8 – taken by ♥Q. E returned the ♣Q taken by ♣A. S now exited with ♣7 – won by ♣J who exited with ♣6 in this position:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|------------------------|--|
| ♠Q ♥107 ♦Q ♣- | ♠8 ♥J5 ♦- ♣9 <table style="margin: auto; border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0ffe0;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">W</td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td><td style="padding: 2px;">E</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">S</td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> </table> ♠- ♥A9 ♦J8 ♣- | W | N | E | | | | | S | | ♠- ♥K64 ♦- ♣6 | |
| W | N | E | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | S | | | | | | | | | | | |

S discards the ♦8 and W is triple-squeezed. In practice he discards the ♥7 but S now plays the ♥J to pin the ♥10 and comes to 8 tricks. So what went wrong?

In top tricks E-W can establish 2 ♠ tricks, 1 ♥ trick, 2 ♦ tricks and 1 ♣ trick. There is no need for E to find the dramatic unblock of the ♦K. There is also no need for W to let N win a ♠ trick. If he had cashed the ♠Q then S would have been squeezed.

E should also have realised that he does better to discard a ♥ rather than a ♣ on the ♠10 trick. Not going in with the ♥10 also creates the threat of the ♥J as an entry to dummy.

Manchester Congress 2005 by Boris Ewart

**Championship Teams Final.
1st Janet De Botton, Nick Sandquist,
Jason & Justin Hackett.**

This hand is a fine example of a trump-coup played by John Holland:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Board 4 Dealer W Game-All ♠J65 ♥10842 ♦AQ62 ♣J4 | ♠9842 ♥AQJ765 ♦10 ♣105 <table style="margin: auto; border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0ffe0;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">W</td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td><td style="padding: 2px;">E</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">S</td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> </table> ♠1073 ♥3 ♦9 ♣KQ987632 ♠AKQ ♥K9 ♦KJ87543 ♣A | W | N | E | | | | | S | | ♠1073 ♥3 ♦9 ♣KQ987632 ♠AKQ ♥K9 ♦KJ87543 ♣A | |
| W | N | E | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | S | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|----|----|----|----|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 3♥ | No | 6♥ |
| No | No | No | |

The opening bid was caused by John's partner flooring a cold game on the previous hand so John was out to create a swing.

E led ♦9 ducked to ♦Q who returned ♦2 ruffed with ♥J – E discarding ♣9. At this point John commented, "I bet this has caused a trump promotion". He next played ♥5 to ♥K then led ♥9 from dummy. W unfortunately covered this with ♥10 to confirm John's prediction. John now recovered by crossing to ♣A and running ♦K to discard ♣10 then ruffing ♦5 with ♥6. John next crossed back to ♠A to play ♦ winners through W to pick up his trumps in this ending:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Board 4 Dealer W Game-All ♠J ♥84 ♦- ♣- | ♠9 ♥A7 ♦- ♣- <table style="margin: auto; border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0ffe0;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">W</td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td><td style="padding: 2px;">E</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">S</td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> </table> ♠10 ♥- ♦- ♣KQ ♠K ♥- ♦J8 ♣- | W | N | E | | | | | S | | ♠10 ♥- ♦- ♣KQ ♠K ♥- ♦J8 ♣- | |
| W | N | E | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | S | | | | | | | | | | | |

Board 25
Dealer N
E-W Vul

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| ♠- ♥Q942 ♦754 ♣AK9654 | ♠K1072 ♥1086 ♦KQ10982 ♣- <table style="margin: auto; border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0ffe0;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">W</td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td><td style="padding: 2px;">E</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">S</td><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td></tr> </table> ♠AJ6 ♥KJ53 ♦J3 ♣10872 ♠Q98543 ♥A7 ♦A6 ♣QJ3 | W | N | E | | | | | S | | ♠AJ6 ♥KJ53 ♦J3 ♣10872 ♠Q98543 ♥A7 ♦A6 ♣QJ3 | |
| W | N | E | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | S | | | | | | | | | | | |

If you reach a contract of 6♠ by S and receive the ♥2 lead to ♥K and ♥A, you need to appreciate that E must hold ♠AJ6 and at least 2 ♦. Play ♦A then to ♦K and hit E with ♦Q. He ruffs low and you over-ruff. Now ruff ♣3 and hit E with ♦10. He ruffs ♠J and you over-ruff. Ruff ♣J and hit E with ♦9. If he discards or ruffs with ♠A then discard ♥7 and claim.

National Swiss Teams Congress 2005 by Boris Ewart
1st Richard Winter, Sarah Tesholme, Mike Leese & Steve Wood.

There were plenty of interesting hands and distributions about with the computer deals. When ♠ split badly you could often pick up the suit while with ♣ it was normally impossible.

Session 1.

Board 3
 Dealer S
 E-W Vul.

♠9754
 ♥QJ106
 ♦K1062
 ♣10

♠J2
 ♥983
 ♦7
 ♣AQ98753

♠KQ6
 ♥AK4
 ♦A954
 ♣KJ2

♠A1083
 ♥752
 ♦QJ83
 ♣64

| | | | |
|-----|----|----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 2NT | No | 4♣ | No |
| 4♠* | No | 6♣ | End |

Very few bid to 6♣ but it is easy if you play Watson Slam Tries. 4♠ here asks for a ♦ control (Suit above the bid suit – excluding the trump suit), as it is the one suit W is worried about. E jumps to 6♣ with a singleton ♦.

This is a great hand:

Board 4
 Dealer W
 Game All.

♠AJ10
 ♥J5
 ♦K6
 ♣AKQ1064

♠Q7
 ♥72
 ♦QJ10532
 ♣852

♠K6542
 ♥AKQ83
 ♦A84
 ♣-

♠983
 ♥10964
 ♦97
 ♣J973

| | | | |
|-----|-----|----|----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 2♦* | 3NT | 5♦ | No |
| No | ? | | |

If N doubles 5♦ he will regret it.

This was poor judgement:

Board 12
 Dealer W
 N-S Vul.

♠J42
 ♥742
 ♦Q6
 ♣J10852

♠A65
 ♥J109653
 ♦7
 ♣976

♠KQ7
 ♥A
 ♦K532
 ♣AKQ43

♠10983
 ♥KQ8
 ♦AJ10984
 ♣-

| | | | |
|-----|----|------|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 2♥* | No | 2NT* | No |
| 3♣* | No | 3NT | End |

4♥ is clearly the correct contract. E thought W was showing a non-minimum Weak-2♥ with a ♣ feature. W was actually showing a minimum Weak-2♥ with a poor suit.

This is a poor grand slam:

Board 19
 Dealer S
 E-W Vul.

♠7
 ♥AK1075
 ♦A76
 ♣AKQ5

♠653
 ♥J43
 ♦854
 ♣9764

♠KJ42
 ♥92
 ♦QJ102
 ♣1032

♠AQ1098
 ♥Q86
 ♦K93
 ♣J8

| | | | |
|----|-----|----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 2♥ | No | 3♥ |
| No | 4♣* | No | 4♥ |
| No | 5♦* | No | 5♠* |
| No | 6♣* | No | 6♦* |
| No | ? | | |

After the 6♦ cue-bid N can appreciate that S has ♠A, ♦K and probably only ♥Qxx so he knows he needs a ♥ split and something else to make 7♥ - not good odds.

Board 22
 Dealer E
 E-W Vul.

♠73
 ♥864
 ♦J54
 ♣AJ862

♠K1084
 ♥K10932
 ♦762
 ♣Q

♠AQJ2
 ♥A
 ♦AK3
 ♣K10753

♠965
 ♥QJ75
 ♦Q1098
 ♣J94

| | | | |
|-----|----|------|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 1♥ | No | 1♣ | No |
| 3♠ | No | 2♠ | No |
| 4♠ | No | 4♦* | No |
| 5♣* | No | 4NT* | No |
| | | 6♠ | End |

A fair slam but a ♠ lead and another ♠ by N when he wins the ♣A, takes it off as you can no longer cross-ruff for 12 tricks.

Board 24
 Dealer W
 Love All.

♠AQJ5
 ♥1075
 ♦AK85
 ♣J10

♠K93
 ♥QJ96
 ♦J109
 ♣K97

♠108
 ♥AK432
 ♦72
 ♣Q862

♠7642
 ♥8
 ♦Q643
 ♣A543

| | | | |
|----|----|-----|----|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 1♠ | No | 3♠ |
| No | 4♠ | End | |

S chose the right moment to upgrade his hand to a 3♠ bid to get to this excellent game.

Session 2.

Board 7 Dealer S Game-All.

♠Q652
♥10982
♦1053
♣A4

♠A3
♥KJ5
♦KQ976
♣KQ6

♠K87
♥AQ43
♦A8
♣J1072

♠J1094
♥76
♦J42
♣9853

W N E S
1♦ No 1♥ No
2NT No 4NT No
6♦ No 6NT End

2NT showed 18-19 and 4NT was invitational. W bid 6♦ because of the 5 card suit and also the partial ♥ fit. E converted to 6NT as no ♦ fit – 12 easy tricks.

This was an ethical problem:

Board 13 Dealer N Game All.

♠8762
♥A7643
♦108
♣Q2

♠94
♥82
♦AQ9732
♣J96

♠AKQ
♥KQJ1095
♦-
♣K1054

♠J1053
♥-
♦KJ654
♣A873

W N E S
2♦ 2♠ 3♥ No
No No

At the table of course E placed the STOP card on the table and then bid 3♥. Faced with unauthorised information W had to pass. N doubled 4♥ at the other table to concede – 790.

Another wild board:

Board 15 Dealer S N-S Vul.

♠-
♥109875
♦AKQ9862
♣8

♠KQ974
♥632
♦7543
♣J

♠A86
♥A
♦J10
♣AK107542

♠J10532
♥KQJ4
♦-
♣Q963

W N E S
2♠* 4♦* 4♠ No
X End

S was not aware that 4♦ showed a bid red 2-suiter otherwise he would have bid 5♥. E would have doubled and conceded – 850. S also did not discard 3♥ on the ♦AKQ – E ruffed ♦Q with ♠A, so W was able to make 4♠-X for - 590.

This is a matter of systemic agreement:

Board 18 Dealer E N-S Vul.

♠AK73
♥J
♦A5
♣AKQJ93

♠1064
♥K874
♦J72
♣754

♠J85
♥10952
♦KQ10964
♣-

♠Q92
♥AQ63
♦83
♣10862

W N E S
No! No
No 2♣ 2♦ X
No 3♣ No 4♣
No 4♦* No 4♥*
No 6♣ End

S meant the double to show some values – traditionally it is penalty. E would have done better to open 3♦ or a Weak-2♦ as then S cannot appreciate that N has a 2♣ opener.

Board 19 Dealer S E-W Vul.

♠Q83
♥AKJ6
♦AK1062
♣3

♠652
♥Q105
♦Q8543
♣92

♠AKJ974
♥7
♦97
♣Q864

♠10
♥98432
♦J
♣AKJ1075

W N E S
No No
No 1♦ 1♠ X*
No 4♥ No 4♠*
No 5♦* No 6♥ End

After the ♠A and ♣4 switch you have to finesse ♥Q, ♦Q and ♣Q to bring this one home.

Board 26 Dealer E Game-All.

♠KQ1075
♥A
♦J6
♣K6542

♠9
♥43
♦K109532
♣AQ103

♠J32
♥KQ1065
♦A87
♣J7

♠A864
♥J9872
♦Q4
♣98

W N E S
2♦ 2♠ 1♥ No
No 4♠ No 3♠
End

♥K to ♥A then ♠5 to ♠A and ♣9. W took ♣A but failed to cash 2♦ tricks by exiting ♣3 to ♣K. N could now ruff out the ♣. E did ruff in with ♠J but then the ♦4 is discarded so the ♦J can be ruffed later.

Session 3.

Board 6
Dealer E
E-W Vul.

♠10743
♥Q
♦963
♣K8643

| | | |
|----------|-------|--------|
| ♠8652 | | ♠AKQJ |
| ♥1097654 | W N E | ♥AK83 |
| ♦AJ | W S E | ♦K1072 |
| ♣2 | | ♣5 |

♠9
♥J2
♦Q854
♣AQJ1097

| | | | |
|----|----|----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| | | 1♥ | 2♣ |
| 4♥ | 5♣ | 5♥ | End |

| | | | |
|----|----|----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| X* | 5♣ | 6♣ | 2♣ |
| 6♥ | 7♣ | X | End |

A classic example where the choice of opening bid makes it easier to bid 6♥. If E had bid 5♦ instead of 5♥ would W have the confidence to bid 6♥?

Board 7
Dealer S
Game All.

♠A2
♥K963
♦3
♣AQJ974

| | | |
|---------|-------|-------|
| ♠1064 | | ♠KQJ5 |
| ♥A75 | W N E | ♥104 |
| ♦A10942 | W S E | ♦KQ65 |
| ♣52 | | ♣863 |

♠9873
♥QJ82
♦J87
♣K10

| | | | |
|----|-----|----|--------|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 1♣ | No | No |
| No | 3♦* | No | 1♥ |
| | | | 4♥ End |

After the 3♦ Splinter Bid, S makes a nice fast arrival jump to 4♥ based on fair trumps and a big ♣K.

Board 11
Dealer S
Love-All.

♠65
♥QJ8432
♦J109
♣K4

| | | |
|---------|-------|--------|
| ♠AJ1087 | | ♠K94 |
| ♥6 | W N E | ♥A95 |
| ♦Q865 | W S E | ♦AK732 |
| ♣J75 | | ♣109 |

♠Q32
♥K107
♦4
♣AQ8632

| | | | |
|----|----|-----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 1♠ | X* | 2♣* | 1♣ |
| 2♠ | 3♥ | 4♠ | 5♥ |
| No | No | X | End |

4♠ is cold and can make 11. 5♥-X was misdefended for 2 down – 300. If W does not overcall 1♠ then E-W may not get to 4♠.

Board 15
Dealer S
N-S Vul.

♠K943
♥109
♦KQJ7
♣872

| | | |
|-------|-------|--------|
| ♠AQ6 | | ♠10752 |
| ♥J54 | W N E | ♥Q72 |
| ♦9862 | W S E | ♦53 |
| ♣1093 | | ♣KQJ4 |

♠J8
♥AK863
♦A104
♣A65

| | | | |
|----|-----|-----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 1♠ | No | 1♥ |
| No | 3NT | End | 1NT |

♣10 to ♣J, ducked then ♣Q also ducked. E now has to switch to ♠2 and W plays ♠Q to defeat 3NT. Reason – E has no entry to the ♣ and W should not have ♣A1093 as he overtakes ♣Q with ♣A, as he knows E has ♣KQJx. (With ♣KQJ, E would play ♣K next not ♣Q).

Board 20
Dealer W
Game All.

♠A105
♥1082
♦J94
♣7652

| | | |
|---------|-------|-------|
| ♠- | | ♠KJ94 |
| ♥AJ95 | W N E | ♥Q743 |
| ♦10753 | W S E | ♦AK2 |
| ♣AQ1094 | | ♣J8 |

♠Q87632
♥K6
♦Q86
♣K3

| | | | |
|-----|----|----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 1♣ | No | 1♥ | 1♠ |
| 3♥ | 3♠ | 4♦ | No |
| 4♠* | No | 6♥ | End |

3♠-X would have gone for 1100 but this disgusting 6♥ rolled in for 1430. With a full raise to 3♥, W can cue-bid 2♠ or double and then support ♥.

Board 24
Dealer W
Love-All.

♠765
♥-
♦K764
♣AKQ852

| | | |
|-----------|-------|---------|
| ♠J98 | | ♠Q4 |
| ♥Q1098653 | W N E | ♥J74 |
| ♦QJ5 | W S E | ♦92 |
| ♣- | | ♣J97643 |

♠AK1032
♥AK2
♦A1083
♣10

| | | | |
|----|---|----|--------|
| W | N | E | S |
| 3♥ | X | 4♥ | 6♠ End |

Here N made a T/O-X of 3♥ as the hand is playable in 3 suits rather than bid 4♣. E raised the ante and S bid a practical 6♠ bid. 6NT cannot make provided E clings to his ♥J otherwise W can be squeezed in the red suits.

Board 29
Dealer N
Game All.

♠AK
♥QJ10
♦K10632
♣Q102

| | | | |
|--------|---|---|-------|
| ♠Q962 | N | E | ♠874 |
| ♥A98 | W | S | ♥K765 |
| ♦5 | | | ♦Q974 |
| ♣K9643 | | | ♣J7 |

♠J1053
♥432
♦AJ8
♣A85

| | | | |
|----|-----|----|---------|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 1♦ | No | 1♠ |
| No | 1NT | No | 3NT End |

E led ♥5 to ♥A and then ♥9 return to ♥Q ducked by E. ♦2 to ♦A then ♦J ducked to ♦Q – W discarding ♣3. E cashed ♥K and ♥7. ♠3 discarded from dummy and W discarded ♣9 – N ♣2. E-W were playing Odd-Even discards so W wanted a ♣ switch. E however returned ♦9 to ♦10. N then cashed ♦K6 to come down to:

Board 29
Dealer N
Game All.

♠AK
♥-
♦-
♣Q10

| | | | |
|------|---|---|------|
| ♠Q96 | N | E | ♠874 |
| ♥- | W | S | ♥- |
| ♦- | | | ♦- |
| ♣K | | | ♣J |

♠J105
♥-
♦-
♣A

W has been caught in a Criss-Cross squeeze. The ♠A was cashed and then the ♣A to come back to the ♠K and established ♣Q. If W had given up the ♠ suit the ♠AK would be cashed and then cross to ♣A to cash the ♠J.

Board 30
Dealer E
Love-All.

♠AQ7
♥J4
♦A853
♣Q953

| | | | |
|----------|---|---|---------|
| ♠KJ10932 | N | E | ♠854 |
| ♥1095 | W | S | ♥Q62 |
| ♦764 | | | ♦K9 |
| ♣J | | | ♣108642 |

♠6
♥AK873
♦QJ102
♣AK7

| | | | |
|----|------|-----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 2♠ | X* | 3♠ | 4♠* |
| No | 4NT* | No | 5♦ |
| No | 6♦ | End | |

4NT here was asking for S to show a minor fit or repeat his ♥. 6♦ is unlucky as W led his singleton ♣J and you cannot prevent the ♣ ruff.

Board 31
Dealer S
N-S Vul.

♠J95
♥AKJ6
♦K104
♣1095

| | | | |
|-------|---|---|---------|
| ♠Q10 | N | E | ♠AK7632 |
| ♥854 | W | S | ♥7 |
| ♦J983 | | | ♦Q6 |
| ♣K872 | | | ♣AQ64 |

♠84
♥Q10932
♦A752
♣J3

| | | | |
|----|----|----|----|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 1♥ | 1♠ | 4♥ |
| No | No | ? | |

At my table E now bid 4♠ and found a rather suitable dummy. The right bid is to make an Action-Double saying you have a very good overcall and want partner to make a sensible decision. W will bid 4♠ but if he passes you collect 500 on the ♠A lead then a neutral ♥7 switch.

Board 32
Dealer W
E-W Vul.

♠4
♥K108652
♦AKQ64
♣K

| | | | |
|---------|---|---|----------|
| ♠KQ10 | N | E | ♠J987632 |
| ♥J7 | W | S | ♥- |
| ♦82 | | | ♦J107 |
| ♣Q97432 | | | ♣A65 |

♠A5
♥AQ943
♦953
♣J108

| | | | |
|----|------|-----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| No | 1♥ | 3♠ | 4♥ |
| 4♠ | 4NT* | No | 5♠* |
| No | 6♥ | End | |

Not too difficult a hand to bid but some teams missed this slam.

Board 33
Dealer N
Love-All.

♠A1053
♥7532
♦J95
♣64

| | | | |
|-------|---|---|---------|
| ♠J94 | N | E | ♠KQ72 |
| ♥A98 | W | S | ♥Q4 |
| ♦K72 | | | ♦AQ |
| ♣AK75 | | | ♣QJ1082 |

♠86
♥KJ106
♦108643
♣93

| | | | |
|------|----|-----|-----|
| W | N | E | S |
| 2NT* | No | 1♣ | No |
| 4♣ | No | 3♦* | No |
| 4♥* | No | 4♦* | No |
| 4NT | No | 4♠* | No |
| 5♠* | No | 5♦* | No |
| | | 5NT | End |

3♦ showed 15-16 with at least 4♣ and a 4 major. After that I gathered that the 5♠ bid was to play in 5NT – strange. The ♥5 lead took that 2 down with 6♣ cold.